

# What are the opportunities for nutritional management in automated milking (robot) barns?

- Encourage voluntary milking visits throughout the day
  - Promote labor and robot efficiency
- Meet production needs throughout lactation
  - Encourage high peaks and persistency

T DAIRY

### What have learned in research related to these opportunities?

- There are many approaches not one size fits all
- Cows need to be motivated to go and milk
- DMI (and its prediction) is key

DAIRY

- Cow behavior may dictate milking and feeding success
- There are opportunities to 'precision' feed

### What have learned in research related to these opportunities?

• There are many approaches – not one size fits all

### What do 'average' robot rations in Canada look like?

		PMR						AMS Concentrate					P-value <sup>1</sup>	
Item	National	East	QC	ON	West	SEM <sup>2</sup>	National	East	QC	ON	West	SEM <sup>2</sup>	PMR	AMS
N	149	7	17	75	50	_	157	8	23	76	54	_	_	_
DMI (kg/d)	$21.1 \pm 0.17$	22.8 <sup>a</sup>	20.5 <sup>bc</sup>	21.5 <sup>ab</sup>	20.6 <sup>c</sup>	0.76	$4.3 \pm 0.09$	4.12 <sup>ab</sup>	4.75 <sup>a</sup>	3.91 <sup>b</sup>	4.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.37	0.005	< 0.001
DM (%)	$42.6 \pm 0.52$	40.4	41.0	43.0	43.1	2.32	$88.3 \pm 0.13$	87.2	87.9	88.5	88.4	0.56	0.46	0.13
CP (% of DM)	$16.1 \pm 0.13$	16.4 <sup>ab</sup>	17.2 <sup>a</sup>	15.8 <sup>b</sup>	16.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.59	$19.3 \pm 0.32$	15.9 <sup>b</sup>	19.9 <sup>a</sup>	20.5 <sup>a</sup>	17.6 <sup>b</sup>	1.39	0.02	< 0.001
ADF (% of DM)	$22.4 \pm 0.21$	21.2 <sup>c</sup>	24.5 <sup>a</sup>	21.9 <sup>c</sup>	22.9 <sup>ab</sup>	0.93	$9.62 \pm 0.25$	8.0	10.4	9.6	9.6	0.69	0.001	0.36
NDF (% of DM)	$35.3 \pm 1.16$	35.5	37.3	36.3	32.6	5.54	$19.7 \pm 0.92$	18.3	22.6	19.5	18.3	3.68	0.53	0.48
NFC (% of DM)	$38.3 \pm 0.31$	37.4 <sup>ab</sup>	38.0 <sup>ab</sup>	39.1 <sup>a</sup>	37.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.34	$44.2 \pm 0.90$	54.0 <sup>a</sup>	44.2 <sup>ab</sup>	41.6 <sup>b</sup>	47.4 <sup>a</sup>	3.69	0.02	0.001
Starch (% of DM)	$21.6 \pm 0.35$	20.7 <sup>b</sup>	19.3 <sup>b</sup>	23.3ª	19.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.31	$32.2 \pm 1.04$	45.3 <sup>a</sup>	25.6 <sup>b</sup>	29.1 <sup>b</sup>	36.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.99	< 0.001	< 0.001
Sugar (% of DM)	$4.59 \pm 0.20$	4.77 <sup>ab</sup>	5.40 <sup>ab</sup>	3.95 <sup>b</sup>	5.43 <sup>a</sup>	1.83	$4.90 \pm 0.14$	4.21 <sup>ab</sup>	3.79 <sup>b</sup>	5.38 <sup>a</sup>	4.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.56	0.005	0.002
EE <sup>3</sup> (% of DM)	$4.43 \pm 0.09$	3.89 <sup>b</sup>	3.73 <sup>b</sup>	4.05 <sup>b</sup>	5.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.36	$3.64 \pm 0.10$	3.39 <sup>ab</sup>	3.09 <sup>b</sup>	3.87 <sup>a</sup>	3.58 <sup>ab</sup>	0.43	< 0.001	0.02
Ash (% of DM)	$8.00 \pm 0.18$	7.20 <sup>b</sup>	8.11 <sup>ab</sup>	7.56 <sup>b</sup>	8.96 <sup>ª</sup>	0.69	$5.82 \pm 0.25$	4.24	5.26	6.03	5.94	0.94	0.008	0.31
Ca (% of DM)	$0.89 \pm 0.01$	0.86 <sup>b</sup>	1.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.89 <sup>b</sup>	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	0.07	$0.82 \pm 0.03$	0.43°	0.85 <sup>ab</sup>	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.13	0.01	< 0.001
P (% of DM)	$0.38 \pm 0.01$	0.39	0.40	0.37	0.39	0.03	$0.62 \pm 0.01$	0.64 <sup>ab</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.05	0.16	< 0.001
K (% of DM)	$1.50 \pm 0.02$	1.39 <sup>bc</sup>	1.64 <sup>a</sup>	1.45 <sup>c</sup>	1.56 <sup>ab</sup>	0.11	$0.94 \pm 0.02$	0.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.99 <sup>ab</sup>	1.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	0.07	0.04	< 0.001
Cl (% of DM)	$0.52 \pm 0.01$	0.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.05	$0.50 \pm 0.03$	0.41 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.70^{a}$	0.50 <sup>ab</sup>	0.45 <sup>b</sup>	0.13	0.009	0.07
Mg (% of DM)	$0.35 \pm 0.01$	0.34	0.37	0.35	0.34	0.03	$0.38 \pm 0.02$	0.28 <sup>b</sup>	0.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.36 <sup>b</sup>	0.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.07	0.41	< 0.001
Na (% of DM)	$0.41 \pm 0.01$	0.40	0.44	0.42	0.40	0.05	$0.35 \pm 0.02$	0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	0.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.36 <sup>ab</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.09	0.71	0.061
NE <sub>L</sub> (Mcal/kg DM)	$1.61 \pm 0.09$	1.50 <sup>ab</sup>	1.52 <sup>c</sup>	1.62 <sup>b</sup>	1.66 <sup>a</sup>	0.17	$1.70 \pm 0.02$	1.79	1.77	1.69	1.66	0.08	0.002	0.19
DAIRY														
at GUELPH					Van Soe	est et d	al. 2024. J	. Dairy S	Sci. http	s://doi.	org/10.	3168/j	ds.2023	-24355



at GUELPH

Van Soest et al. 2024. J. Dairy Sci. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2023-24355



# Does robot diet ingredient and nutrient content associate with milking visits?

• Greater milking frequency  $(2.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ milkings/d})$  was

at GUEL PH

- positively associated with free flow traffic cow systems (+0.62 milkings/d) and feed push-up frequency (+0.13 per 10 push-ups, average =12.9±8.6 times/d),
- while being negatively associated with PMR NFC content (-0.017 milkings per 1% increase; average = 38.3±0.31%)









## How do we stimulate cows to access their PMR throughout the day?

- Provide diets that encourage a quick return to eating
  - High forage quality!

DAIRY

### How do we stimulate cows to access their PMR throughout the day?

- Provide diets that encourage a quick return to eating
  - High forage quality!
- Proper feeding management







### What have learned in research related to these opportunities?

- There are many approaches not one size fits all
- Cows need to be motivated to go and milk
- DMI (and its prediction) is key

### Cows will adjust their PMR intake in response to their intake of robot concentrate

Study	DIM (Average ± SD)	Traffic flow	Substitution Ratio (kg DM drop in PMR for every 1 kg of concentrate)
Bach et al., 2007	191 ± 2.13	Free	1.14
Hare et al. 2018	227 ± 25	Guidad	1 58
Thate et al., 2010	123 ± 71	Guideu	1.58
Henrikson et al. 2018	32-320	Eroo	0.58 - 0.02
Hellinksell et al., 2018	14-330	Fiee	0.38 - 0.92
Henriksen et el. 2019	29-218	Eroo	0 69 0 50
Hellinksell et al., 2018	17-267	Fiee	0.09-0.50
Menajovsky et al., 2018	141 ± 13.6	Guided	0.78 - 0.89
Henrikson et al. 2010	Mid (15 to 240)	Ггоо	1.1
Henriksen et al., 2019	Late (240 to 305)	Free	2.9
Paddick et al., 2019	90.6 ± 9.8	Guided	0.97
Schwanke et al, 2019	47.1 ± 15.0	Free	0.63
Schwanke et al, 2022	123.9 ± 53.2	Free	0.54
Schwanke et al, in prep	218 ± 49	Free	0.77

### Cows will adjust their PMR intake in response to their intake of robot concentrate

- Adjustment in PMR intake relative to concentrate...
  - Likely varies across DIM

at GUEL PH

- May be greater in guided traffic barns
- Highlights the importance of having accurate DMI predictions







### What have learned in research related to these opportunities?

- There are many approaches not one size fits all
- Cows need to be motivated to go and milk
- DMI (and its prediction) is key

DAIRY

Cow behavior may dictate milking and feeding success



#### Do cows (consistently) receive the amount of robot feed they are supposed to?

- Milking frequency / time since last milking
- Dispensing rate / box time
  - Eating rate of various feed types
  - Maximum meal size

#### Cow behavior may dictate how much robot concentrate cows can receive...

- Eating rates vary with feed type
  - ~430 g/min may be near maximal for pellet
- Published average rates vary from ~200-300 g/min

(Beauchemin et al. 2002, Maekawa et al. 2002, Sporndly and Asberg, 2006, Harper et al. 2016)

DAIRY at GUELPH

at GUELPH



#### Cow behavior may dictate how much robot concentrate cows can receive...

	M	ilking dura	tion, min	
	5	7	9	
Dispensing rate,				
g/min	Maximum	amount of	fered/mil	king (kg
200	1.00	1.40	1.80	2.20
300	1.50	2.10	2.70	3.30
400	2.00	2.80	3.60	4.40
500	2.50	3.50	4.50	5.50
600	3.00	4.20	5.40	6.60

#### Cow behavior may dictate how much robot concentrate cows can receive...

	Milking duration, min					
	5	7	9	11		
Dispensing rate,						
g/min	Maximum amount offered/milking (kg)					
200	1.00	1.40	1.80	2.20		
300	1.50	2.10	2.70	3.30		
400	2.00	2.80	3.60	4.40		
500	2.50	3.50	4.50	5.50		
600	3.00	4.20	5.40	6.60		

#### Eating behavior in robot dictates how much 'average' cows can receive...

The 'average' cow eats concentrate at 250 g/min, in a ~7 min milking, that is about 1.75 kg per milking
With a target of 3 milkings/day, on average, that is an average of 5.25 kg/cow/d of concentrate

### Behavioral individuality ('personality') may affect robot visits and nutritional targets...

 Cows who were more "fearful" were less likely to be delivered the target of 6.0 kg/d (H-AMS); no effect for cows on low allocation (3.0 kg/d: L-AMS)

T DAIRY



Schwanke et al. 2022. J. Dairy Sci. 105:6290-6306

## What have learned in research related to these opportunities?

- There are many approaches not one size fits all
- Cows need to be motivated to go and milk
- DMI (and its prediction) is key
- Cow behavior may dictate milking and feeding success
- There are opportunities to 'precision' feed

### What are the opportunities to 'precision feed' cows in robots?

- Feed tables (of robot concentrate) must be based on stage of lactation and production level
- We have opportunities to supplement cows at times of greater needs

### Ketosis has been reported to be more prevalent in robot herds

- Study of 791 dairy herds in Ontario, Canada
  - For multiparous cows, the odds of hyperketolactia (elevated milk BHB) increased by 1.45 fold on a farm with a robot







### What are the opportunities to 'precision feed' cows in robots?

- Feed tables (of robot concentrate) must be based on stage of lactation and production level
- We have opportunities to supplement cows at times of greater needs
  - Increasing energy supplementation in early lactation
    - Sugar (molasses) Moore et al. 2020. J. Dairy Sci. 103:10506-10518
    - Glycerol McWilliams et al. J. Dairy Sci. in review





